Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/616,598	CAVALLARO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	D. S. Nakarani	1773
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>D. S. Nakarani</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Simon B. Anolick.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 January 2006	Time: <u>10:10 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Rejection(s) discussed.		
Claims discussed: 3 and 22		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Mr. Anolick agreed to cancel claim 3 and claim 22 to avoid re and 22 are duplcate claims.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance of	of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
(Application - Organization)	Applicant o Hoprosontat	and distriction in appropriate)